



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,032	09/11/2003	William Hugh Cochran	ROC920030125US1 5821	
75	590 02/06/2006		EXAM	INER
Robert R. Wil	liams		RIZK, SAM	IR WADIE
IBM Corporation	on - Dept. 917			
3605 Highway 52 North		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, MN 55901			2133	

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/660,032	COCHRAN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sam Rizk	2133		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>	l		
Period fo	• •				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTH IN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Se	eptember 2003.			
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3)					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 49	03 U.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement			
ااره	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
' ') []	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	; Action of form F10-132.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).		
	 Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents 		ion No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				
	application from the International Bureau		.		
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.		
Attachmer		A\□	(/DTO 413)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/660,032 Page 2

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTIONS

Claims 1-14 have been submitted for examination

- Claims 1-14 have been rejected

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constantinescu US publication no. 2004/0252644 (Hereinafter Constantinescu) and further in view of Fred Schraff, Choosing Differential or single-ended measurements for data acquisition systems, Sensor Magazine Online, December 1997 (Hereinafter Schraff). Copy is provided in its entirety.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/660,032

Art Unit: 2133

2. In Regard to claim 1, Constantinescu substantially teaches all the limitations:

- A method for implementing a redundancy enhanced differential signal interface comprising the steps of:

(Note: Section [0021], line 4, in Constantinescu)

detecting an error;

(Note: Section [0021], lines (16-18) in Constantinescu)

responsive to said detected error, reducing an interface operating speed,

(Note: Section [0032], lines (6-10) in Constantinescu)

 alternately testing of true and complement sides of a differential signaling 1/0 pair; and

(Note: Section [0032], lines (10-12) in Constantinescu)

However, Constantinescu does not disclose in detail:

 responsive to detecting a failure of a true side or a complement side, setting the detected failed true side or complement side to a reference voltage and maintaining said reduced interface operating speed.

Schraff, in an analogous art, that teaches reconfigurable Differential or single-ended measurements for data acquisition systems, disclose the method of:

Art Unit: 2133

 setting the detected failed true side or complement side to a reference voltage and maintaining said reduced interface operating speed.

(Note: Figure 1, in Schraff)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Constantinescu with the teaching of Schraff to include implementation of dual single ended or differential interfaces responsive to detecting a failure.

This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the need for interface redundancy mechanism where the interface degrades over time or quickly degrades while the interconnect is working within the system.

- 3. In regard to claim 2, Constantinescu teaches:
 - A method for implementing a redundancy enhanced differential signal interface as recited in claim 1 wherein the step of detecting said error includes the step of utilizing Error Correction Code (ECC) for error detecting.

(Note: Section [0021], line 15, in Constantinescu)

- 4. In regard to claim 3, Constantinescu teaches:
 - A method for implementing a redundancy enhanced differential signal interface as recited in claim 1 wherein the step of reducing said interface operating speed includes the step of

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/660,032

Art Unit: 2133

setting an interface operating speed to about one half of normal operating speed.

(Note: Section [0032], line 8, in Constantinescu)

- 5. In regard to claim 4, Schraff teaches:
 - A method for implementing a redundancy enhanced differential signal interface as recited in claim 1 wherein the step of alternately testing true and complement sides of a differential signaling 1/0 pair includes the steps of providing a pair of multiplexers coupled to a differential receiver, each multiplexer receiving a respective true or complement signal first input and a voltage reference second input; and each multiplexer providing a respective true or complement output signal to said differential receiver.

(Note: Figure 1, in Schraff)

- 6. Claim 5 is rejected for the same reasons as per claim 4.
- 7. In regard to claim 6, Constantinescu teaches:
 - A method for implementing a redundancy enhanced differential signal interface as recited in claim 1 further includes the steps responsive to detecting a failure of both said true side and said complement side, returning to normal operating speed and posting said failure.

(Note: Section [0028], lines (16 and 17), in Constantinescu)

8. In regard to claim 7, Constantinescu teaches:

Art Unit: 2133

- A method for implementing a redundancy enhanced differential signal interface as recited in claim 1 further includes the steps responsive to detecting no failure of either a true side or a complement side, posting said detected no failure, and continuing operation at said reduced interface operating speed.

(Note; FIG. 4, in Constantinescu)

- 9. In regard to claim 8, Constantinescu substantially teaches all the limitations:
 - Apparatus for implementing a redundancy enhanced differential signal interface comprising:

(Note: Section [0021], line 4, in Constantinescu)

a differential signaling 1/0 pair;
 (Note: Section [0021], line 4, in Constantinescu)

 error detecting means coupled to said differential receiver for detecting an error;

(Note: FIG. 4, reference character (404), in Constantinescu0

test and failure control logic coupled to said error detecting means and said differential receiver interface; said test and failure control logic being responsive to a detected error, for reducing an interface operating speed', and alternately enabling said multiplexer control input of said pair of multiplexers for testing of true and complement sides of said differential signaling 1/0 pair; and responsive to detecting a failure of a true

Art Unit: 2133

side or a complement side, for setting the detected failed true side or complement side to a reference voltage for continued operation.

(Note: FIG. 4, reference characters (412), (414) and (416) in Constantinescu)

However, Constantinescu does not disclose in detail:

a differential receiver interface coupled to said differential signaling 1/0 pair; said differential receiver interface including a pair of multiplexers coupled to a differential receiver, each multiplexer having a first input receiving a respective true or complement signal and a second input connected to a voltage reference and a multiplexer control input; and each multiplexer providing a respective true or complement output signal to said differential receiver;

Schraff, in an analogous art, that teaches reconfigurable Differential or single-ended measurements for data acquisition systems, disclose the method of multiplexers in Figures 1 and 6.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Constantinescu with the teaching of Schraff to include implementation of dual single ended or differential interfaces responsive to detecting a failure.

This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, because one of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 10/660,032 Page 8

Art Unit: 2133

the art would have recognized the need for interface redundancy mechanism where the interface degrades over time or quickly degrades while the interconnect is working within the system.

- 10. In regard to claim 9, Constantinescu teaches:
 - Apparatus for implementing a redundancy enhanced differential signal interface as recited in claim 8 wherein said test and failure control logic maintains said reduced interface operating speed for continued operation after setting the detected failed true side or complement side to a reference voltage.

(Note: Section [0032], lines (6-10) in Constantinescu)

- 11. Claim 10 is rejected for the same reasons as per claim 6.
- 12. Claim 11 is rejected for the same reasons as per claim 7.
- 13. Claim 12 is rejected for the same reasons as per claim 3.
- 14. In regard to claim 13, Schraff teaches:
 - Apparatus for implementing a redundancy enhanced differential signal interface a recited in claim 8 wherein said voltage
 reference is a middle level voltage between a high and low level of said differential signals.

(Note: Figure 2, in Schraff)

15. Claim 14 is rejected for the same reasons as per claim 4.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2133

 Zhang et al. US patent no. 6601123 teaches method and apparatus to control the signal development rate of a differential bus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Rizk whose telephone number is (571) 272-8191. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronics Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Sam Rizk, MSEE, ABD

Examiner

ART UNIT 2133

ALBERT DECADY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100